

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,885	03/31/2004	Edward Hosung Park	04-0030	7569
29293	7590 03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
FREUDENBERG-NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP			BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P	
	PARTMENT CANCHOR COURT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLYMOUT	H, MI 48170-2455		1772	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/01/2004	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			\mathcal{D}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,885	PARK, EDWARD HOSUNG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 i			
<i>,</i> —	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	JS O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-77 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the education of the lead of a by the education is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/814,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21 and 55-75, drawn to composite, classified in class 428, subclass 421.
 - II. Claims 22-35, drawn to composition, classified in class 525, subclass 199.
 - III. Claims 36-54, drawn to method of making composite, classified in class 156, subclass 333.
 - IV. Claims 76-77, drawn to method of etching polytetrafluoroethylene, classified in class 216, subclass 58.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship.

Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an outer coating for an article made of

Art Unit: 1772

fluorocarbon and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as coating the aqueous admixture onto the structural material and then pressing an etched surface of a polytetrafluoroethylene article against the aqueous admixture.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as an article consisting of etched polytetrafluoroethylene.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,885

Art Unit: 1772

5. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product (composition) as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (composition) such as coating the composition onto an outer surface of an article consisting of fluorocarbons.

Page 4

- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together, the method of etching polytetrafluoroethylene does not require the composition of invention II, and the composition of invention II is not used in the method of etching polytetrafluoroethylene.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are directed to related processes.

 The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the

inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the etching invention as claimed can have a materially different function in that the particular etching process is not required in the method of making the composite and the etching process could be used to form a non-composite product containing only polytetrafluoroethylene.

- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to David Suter on February 24, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1772

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,885

Art Unit: 1772

. . . .

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

١

__ CP33

February 24, 2006

HAROLD PYON
SUDERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEXAMINER 2/26/06

Page 7